Thermodynamic Formalism for Dispersing Billiard Maps and Flows Lecture 3: Equilibrium States for  $-t\tau$ 

Mark Demers

Fairfield University Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS 2055070

> Summer School: Partial Hyperbolicity Brin Mathematics Research Center University of Maryland May 30 - June 9, 2023

#### Lecture 3: Equilibrium States for $-t\tau$

**Goal for today:** By considering the roof function as a potential, we are able to access some equilibrium states for the flow.

- Discuss sparse recurrence to singularities in the context of a complexity conjecture. This relates to decay of correlations for the MME for the map.
- Generalize our discussion of the case t = 0 in Lecture 2 to include the weight  $e^{-t\tau}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . Controlling this for t large enough, we prove existence of an MME for the billiard flow.

**References**: M. Demers and A. Korepanov, *Rates of mixing for the measure of maximal entropy for dispersing billiard maps*, preprint '22.

J. Carrand, A family of natural equilibrium measures for Sinai billiard flows, arXiv:2208.14444v2 (March, 2023).

V. Baladi, J. Carrand and M. Demers, *Measure of maximal entropy for finite horizon Sinai billiard flows*, preprint '22.

#### Sparse Recurrence to Singularities

In Lecture 2, we proved existence and uniqueness of a MME  $\mu_0$  for a finite horizon Sinai billiard under an additional assumption of sparse recurrence to singularities.

Recall 
$$h_* = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\# \mathcal{M}_0^n)$$
,  $\mathcal{M}_0^n =$ domains of continuity of  $T^n$ 

- Fix  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and an angle  $\varphi_0$  close to  $\pi/2$ .
- Let s<sub>0</sub> ∈ (0, 1) be the smallest number such that any orbit of length n<sub>0</sub> has at most s<sub>0</sub>n<sub>0</sub> collisions with |φ| ≥ φ<sub>0</sub>.

Finite horizon guarantees that we can always choose  $n_0$  and  $\varphi_0$  so that  $s_0 < 1$ . (Indeed, no triple tangencies implies that  $s_0 \leq \frac{2}{3}$ .)

#### **Assumption**: $h_* > s_0 \log 2$

We are not aware of any billiard table for which this assumption fails.

## Complexity Conjecture

Recall the linear complexity bound of Bunimovich for a finite horizon Sinai billiard:

There exists K > 0 depending only on the configuration of scatterers such that  $N(S_n) \leq Kn$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

In fact, a much stronger complexity bound is conjectured to hold.

**Conjecture** [Balint, Toth '08]: For 'typical' finite horizon billiard tables, the complexity is bounded, i.e.

$$\exists K > 0 \text{ s.t. } N(\mathcal{S}_n) \leq K \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

#### Lemma ([D., Korepanov '22])

If T has bounded complexity then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\varphi_0$  and  $n_0$  such that  $s_0(\varphi_0, n_0) < \varepsilon$ .

This implies that for 'typical' billiard tables,  $h_* > s_0 \log 2$  holds.

## Rate of Mixing for MME

**Main Idea**: Construct a recurrence scheme to a Cantor rectangle with hyperbolic product structure.

• Key feature is to count the number of first returns to the reference set rather than the measure of the set of points which have not returned.



- $\mathcal{A}_n = \text{set of vertical rectangles making a proper return at time <math display="inline">n$
- $\mathcal{R}_n = \text{set of vertical rectangles making a 'prime' proper return at time <math>n$

Let  $r_n = \#\mathcal{R}_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . Consider a directed graph as on the picture below with  $r_n$  arrows going from (n) to (1).



- Label the edges from (n) to (1) by elements of  $\mathcal{R}_n$ , and the edges (n)  $\rightarrow$  (n + 1) by  $E_n$ .
- $\Delta$  = set of two-sided admissible sequences in the alphabet  $\mathfrak{A} = \{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \ge 1} \cup \{E_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ which visit 1 infinitely often in the future.

• 
$$\sigma \colon \Delta \to \Delta$$
, left shift.

## Symbolic Model

#### Proposition

For each 
$$\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{h_*}{s_0 \log 2} - 1\right)$$
, there exists  $C \ge 1$  s.t. for all  $n \ge 0$ ,  
a)  $C^{-1}e^{nh_*} \le \#\mathcal{A}_n \le Ce^{nh_*}$   
b)  $\#\mathcal{R}_n \le Ce^{nh_*}n^{-\frac{h_*}{s_0 \log 2} + \varepsilon}$ 

(a) implies that the inducing scheme sees the full topological entropy of the system.

(b) is a weak bound on the pressure at infinity.

- Prove that  $\sum_n r_n e^{-h_*n} = 1$ .
- Since  $\sum_n nr_n e^{-h_*n} < \infty$ , we can define a Markov measure  $\mu_\Delta$  with entropy  $e^{h_*}$ .
- $(\sigma, \Delta, \mu_{\Delta})$  is a Young tower. Rate of decay of correlations follows from [Young '99]
- The projection of  $\mu_{\Delta}$  to M has entropy  $e^{h_{*}}.$  By uniqueness, it must be the MME  $\mu_{0}.$

## Rate of Mixing for MME

#### Theorem ([D., Korepanov '22])

Assume  $h_* > 2s_0 \log 2$ . For each  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{h_*}{s_0 \log 2} - 2\right)$ , there exists there exists C > 0 s.t. for all  $f, g \in C^{\alpha}(M)$ ,

$$\left|\int f g \circ T^n d\mu_0 - \int f d\mu_0 \int g d\mu_0\right| \le C|f|_{C^{\alpha}}|g|_{C^{\alpha}} n^{-\frac{h_*}{s_0 \log 2} + 2+\varepsilon}.$$

If  $h_* > 4s_0 \log 2$ , this rate of decay also implies limit theorems such as the Central Limit Theorem and the Almost-Sure Invariance Principle.

#### Corollary

If T has bounded complexity, then  $h_*>4s_0\log 2$  holds.  $\mu_0$  has super-polynomial decay of correlations for Hölder observables, and enjoys the CLT and Almost-Sure Invariance Principle.

**Goal:** Prove the existence and uniqueness of a MME for the billiard flow

- Flow is partially hyperbolic, so the transfer operator is difficult to work with directly.
- Using the roof function as a potential, can access equilibrium states for the flow via the transfer operator for the map.
- Similar situation to t = 0 from Lecture 2: the relevant operator will not have a spectral gap.

#### The Billiard Flow

Let  $Q = \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \bigcup_i B_i$  denote the billiard table; scatterers  $B_i$ ,  $\partial B_i$  are  $C^3$  with strictly positive curvature.

The phase space for the flow is

$$\Omega = \{ (x, y, \omega) \in \mathbb{T}^3 : (x, y) \in \mathcal{Q}, \ \omega \in \mathbb{S}^1 \} / \sim$$

where at collisions,  $(x,y,\omega^-)\sim (x,y,\omega^+).$ 

Between collisions, the billiard flow is defined by

$$\Phi_t(x, y, \omega) = (x + t \cos \omega, y + t \sin \omega, \omega),$$

While at collisions,

$$x^{+} = x^{-}, \qquad y^{+} = y^{-}, \qquad \omega^{+} = \omega^{-} + \pi - 2\varphi,$$

where  $\varphi$  is the angle between the post-collision velocity and the outward normal to the boundary at the point of collision.

The flow is continuous, but  $D\Phi_t$  blows up at tangential collisions.

## Statistical Properties w.r.t. Smooth Invariant Measures

The flow  $\Phi_t$  preserves Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega$ .

The map T preserves a smooth measure on M ,  $\mu_{\rm SRB}=\cos\varphi\,dr\,d\varphi$ 

With respect to these measures, many statistical properties are known:

- ergodic and mixing
  - map and flow [Sinai '70]
- Bernoulli
  - map and flow [Gallavotti, Ornstein '74]
- many limit theorems:
  - CLT map [Bunimovich, Sinai '81], flow [Melbourne, Torok '04]
  - ASIP map and flow [Melbourne, Nicol '05],
- exponential decay of correlations
  - map [L.-S. Young '98]
  - flow [Baladi, D., Liverani '18]

For  $x \in M$ , define  $\tau(x) =$  distance from x to T(x) in Q.

View the billiard flow  $\Phi_t$  as a suspension of T with roof function  $\tau$ .

1-1 correspondence between invariant measures for the map and the flow.

 $\nu$  invariant probability measure for  $\Phi_1$  satisfies,  $\nu = \frac{\mu}{\int \tau \, d\mu} \otimes \text{Leb}$ , where  $\mu$  is a *T*-invariant probability measure.

Abramov's formula 
$$\implies h_{\nu}(\Phi_1) = \frac{h_{\mu}(T)}{\int \tau \, d\mu}$$

#### Family of Potentials for the Map, $-t\tau$

Define the **pressure** of the potential,  $-t\tau$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

 $P(t) = \sup\{h_\mu(T) - t\int_M au \, d\mu: \mu ext{ inv. prob. for } T \}$ 

 $\mu_t$  is an **equilibrium state** for  $-t\tau$  if  $\mu_t$  attains the supremum.

- t = 0 corresponds to MME for map
- $P(t) = 0 \iff t = h_{top}(\Phi_1)$  and any corresponding equilibrium state  $\mu_{h_{top}(\Phi_1)}$  lifts to an MME  $\nu_{h_{top}(\Phi_1)}$  for the flow
  - Pf: Since  $\tau_{\min} \leq \tau \leq \tau_{\max}$ ,  $\exists ! t_{\star} > 0$  s.t.  $P(t_{\star}) = 0$ . By Abramov, if  $\nu$  is the lift of  $\mu$ , then

$$0 \ge \frac{h_{\mu}(T) - t_{\star} \int \tau \, d\mu}{\int \tau \, d\mu} = h_{\nu}(\Phi_1) - t_{\star} \,.$$

Moreover, if  $\mu_{t_\star}$  is an equilibrium state for  $-t_\star\tau$  and  $\nu_{t_\star}$  is its lift, then

$$h_{\nu_{t_{\star}}}(\Phi_1) = t_{\star} = \sup\{h_{\nu}(\Phi_1) : \nu \text{ inv. prob. for } \Phi_t\} = h_{top}(\Phi_1).$$

#### Family of Potentials for the Map, $-t\tau$

We would like to establish good control of the transfer operator for all  $t \in [0, h_{top}(\Phi_1)]$ .



As we saw in Lecture 2, this will require us to obtain uniform control on the growth of stable curves and the size of domains of continuity for  $T^n$ , weighted by  $e^{-t\tau}$ .

#### Recall: Topological Pressure for the Map

• Let  $\mathcal{M}_0^n = \text{connected}$ components of  $M \setminus \mathcal{S}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n T^{-i} \mathcal{S}_0$ 



 $M \setminus \mathcal{S}_n$ 

• Let 
$$\tau_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau \circ T^i$$
. Define for  $t \ge 0$   
$$Q_n(t) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{M}_0^n} |e^{-t\tau_n}|_{C^0(A)}, \quad P_*(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Q_n(t)$$

- The limit exists since the sequence  $\log Q_n(t)$  is subadditive.
- When t = 0,  $Q_n(t) = #\mathcal{M}_0^n$  and  $P_*(0) =: h_*$  is the topological entropy of the map.

Two steps:

- Prove uniform exponential growth of  $Q_n(t)$
- Construct  $\mu_t$  from eigenfunctions corresponding to maximal eigenvectors (use uniform growth to control spectral radius of associated transfer operators)

Transfer operators: 
$$\mathcal{L}_t f = \left(\frac{f}{J^s T} e^{-t\tau}\right) \circ T^{-1}$$

• Due to weight  $1/J^sT$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_t$  will not have a spectral gap for any  $t \ge 0$ . Yet we can follow the program outlined for t = 0 to obtain similar results.

#### Weighted Sums on Stable Curves

The main task in proving the uniform exponential growth of  $Q_n(t)$  is controlling the effect of cutting due to singularities.

 $\mathcal{W}^s$  set of local stable manifolds,  $W \in \mathcal{W}^s$ . For  $\delta > 0$ , define

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W) = & \{ \text{connected components of } T^{-n}W \text{, with pieces longer} \\ & \text{than } \delta \text{ subdivided to have length between } \delta/2 \text{ and } \delta \} \\ S_n^{\delta}(W) = \{ W_i \in \mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W) : |W_i| < \delta/3 \} \end{split}$$

Define the weighted sums corresponding to these sets by

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W,t) = \sum_{\substack{W_i \in \mathcal{G}_n^{\delta_t}(W) \\ W_i \in S_n^{\delta_t}(W)}} |e^{-t\tau_n}|_{C^0(W_i)}$$

$$S_n^{\delta}(W,t) = \sum_{\substack{W_i \in S_n^{\delta_t}(W) \\ W_i \in S_n^{\delta_t}(W)}} |e^{-t\tau_n}|_{C^0(W_i)}$$

Note:  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W,0) = \#\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W)$ ,  $S_n^{\delta}(W,0) = \#S_n^{\delta}(W)$ .

## Small Singular Pressure

We say Small Singular Pressure (SSP) holds at  $t \ge 0$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/4]$  if there exist  $\delta_t > 0$  and  $n_t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \ge n_t$ ,

 $S_n^{\delta_t}(W,t) \leq \varepsilon \, \mathcal{G}_n^{\delta_t}(W,t), \quad \forall \, W \in \mathcal{W}^s \text{ with } |W| \geq \delta_t/3,$ 

and

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \sup_{\substack{W\in\mathcal{W}^s\\|W|\geq \delta_t/3}} \frac{e^{-nt\tau_{\min}}}{\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta_t}(W,t)} < \infty$$

A key property for establishing (SSP) is the **linear complexity bound** due to Bunimovich.

 $N(S_n) =$ maximal number of curves in  $S_n$  intersecting at one point

There exists K > 0 depending only on the configuration of scatterers such that  $N(S_n) \le Kn$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

## Growth Lemmas and Uniform Exponential Growth

The importance of (SSP) is that it implies uniform growth of pressure with respect to both  $W \in W^s$  and elements of  $\mathcal{M}_0^n$ .

#### Proposition ([Carrand '22])

Suppose (SSP) holds for some  $t \ge 0$ . There exists  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2, C_3 \ge 1$  s.t. for all  $W \in \mathcal{W}^s$  with  $|W| \ge \delta_t$  and  $n \ge 0$ ,

• 
$$c_1 Q_n(t) \le \mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W, t) \le C_2 Q_n(t)$$

• 
$$e^{nP_*(t)} \le Q_n(t) \le C_3 e^{nP_*(t)}$$

• 
$$c_1 e^{nP_*(t)} \le \mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W, t) \le C_2 C_3 e^{nP_*(t)}$$

Pf: (SSP)  $\implies$  long elements of  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W)$  dominate the weighted sums  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W, t)$ , and long elements of  $\mathcal{M}_0^n$  dominate  $Q_n(t)$ .

Then a positive fraction of long elements in  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W)$  fully cross a positive fraction of long elements of  $\mathcal{M}_0^n \implies$  the growth rates are comparable.

# Verify (SSP) at $t = h_{top}(\Phi_1)$ Using a Bootstrapping Argument

(SSP) holds at t = 0 [Baladi, D. '20] and for small t > 0 [Carrand '22], but we need to extend to  $t = h_{top}(\Phi_1)$ .



- Suppose (SSP) ends at  $t = t_0 < h_{top}(\Phi_1)$ .
- For  $\theta \in (e^{-\tau_{\min}}, e^{-\tau_{\min}/2})$ , choose  $s_1 < t_0$  and  $t_1 > t_0$  so that  $\theta^{t_1/2} e^{|P'_*(s_1)|(t_1-s_1)} = 1$ .

Possible since  $\tau_{\min} \leq |P'_*| \leq \tau_{\max}$ .

• Prove: for  $t \in (s_1, t_1)$ ,  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $\forall W \in \mathcal{W}^s$ ,  $|W| \ge \delta/3$ ,

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W,t) \ge c(t,\delta) e^{n \left(P_*(s_1) - |P'_*(s_1)|(t-s_1)\right)}, \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$

## Verify (SSP) at $h_{top}(\Phi_1)$ Via Bootstrapping

**Prove**: for  $t \in (s_1, t_1)$ ,  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $\forall W \in \mathcal{W}^s$ ,  $|W| \ge \delta/3$ ,

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W,t) \ge c(t,\delta) e^{n \left(P_*(s_1) - |P'_*(s_1)|(t-s_1)\right)}, \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$

Idea: Bootstrap via Hölder inequality: For  $a_i > 0$ ,  $s < t_0 < t$  and  $\eta \in (0,1)$  s.t.  $\eta t + (1 - \eta)s = t_0$ ,

$$\sum_{i} a_{i}^{t_{0}} \leq \left(\sum_{i} a_{i}^{t}\right)^{\eta} \left(\sum_{i} a_{i}^{s}\right)^{1-\eta}$$
$$\implies \sum_{i} a_{i}^{t} \geq \left(\sum_{i} a_{i}^{t_{0}}\right)^{1/\eta} \left(\sum_{i} a_{i}^{s}\right)^{1-1/\eta}$$

Apply this to  $a_i = |e^{-t\tau_n}|_{C^0(W_i)}$  for  $W_i \in \mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W)$ . Then good lower bounds on  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W,s)$ ,  $s < t_0$  and upper bounds on  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W,t_0)$ imply good lower bounds on  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W,t)$ ,  $t \in (t_0,t_1)$ .

(1) 
$$\implies$$
 (SSP) for  $t \in (s_1, t_1)$ , so  $t_0 < h_{top}(\Phi_1)$  is impossible.

Next we want to use the uniform bounds on  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W,t)$  and  $Q_n(t)$  to control norm estimates in an appropriate Banach space.

For this we need to modify our assumption of sparse recurrence to singularities. Recall:

• Fix  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and an angle  $\varphi_0 < \pi/2$ .

s<sub>0</sub> := s<sub>0</sub>(φ<sub>0</sub>, n<sub>0</sub>) ∈ (0, 1), the smallest number such that any orbit of length n<sub>0</sub> has at most s<sub>0</sub>n<sub>0</sub> collisions with |φ| ≥ φ<sub>0</sub>.
 Finite horizon condition ⇒ ∃n<sub>0</sub>, φ<sub>0</sub> so that s<sub>0</sub> < 1.</li>

Assumption for map MME:  $P_*(0) = h_* > s_0 \log 2$ 

To extend our estimates to  $t = h_{top}(\Phi_1)$ , we need a slightly stronger assumption.

Assumption for flow MME:  $h_{top}(\Phi_1)\tau_{min} > s_0 \log 2$ 

The function  $P_*(t) + t\tau_{\min}$  is decreasing for  $t \ge 0$ , so  $h_{top}(\Phi_1)\tau_{\min} > s_0 \log 2$  implies

$$P_*(t) + t\tau_{\min} > s_0 \log 2$$
, for  $t < h_{top}(\Phi_1)$ .

In particular, it implies  $h_* > s_0 \log 2$ , which is our assumption for the map MME.

If the complexity conjecture holds, this is satisfied for typical finite horizon Sinai billiard tables.

#### Definition of Norms

Use the same norms as for t = 0.

For  $f \in C^1(M)$ , define the weak norm of f by

$$|f|_{w} = \sup_{W \in \mathcal{W}^{s}} \sup_{\substack{\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(W) \\ |\psi|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(W)} \leq 1}} \int_{W} f \, \psi \, dm_{W} \, .$$

Define the strong stable norm of f by

$$||f||_{s} = \sup_{W \in \mathcal{W}^{s}} \sup_{\substack{\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}(W) \\ |\psi|_{\mathcal{C}^{\beta}(W)} \le |\log|W||^{\gamma}}} \int_{W} f \,\psi \, dm_{W}$$

Define the strong unstable norm of f by

$$\|f\|_u = \sup_{\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0} \sup_{\substack{W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{W}^s \\ d(W_1, W_2) \le \varepsilon}} \sup_{\substack{|\psi_i|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(W_i)} \le 1 \\ d_0(\psi_1, \psi_2) = 0}} \left|\log \varepsilon\right|^{\varsigma} \left| \int_{W_1} f\psi_1 - \int_{W_2} f\psi_2 \right|$$

## Banach Spaces and Inequalities

Theorem ([Baldi, D. '20], [Carrand '22], [Baladi, Carrand, D. '22])

• 
$$\mathcal{C}^1(M) \subset \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}_w \subset (\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(M))^*.$$

- The embedding of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{B}_w$  is compact.
- Assume  $h_{top}(\Phi_1)\tau_{min} > s_0 \log 2$ . There exists C > 0 such that for all  $t \in [0, h_{top}(\Phi_1)]$ , all  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{L}_{t}^{n}f|_{w} &\leq C|f|_{w}e^{nP_{*}(t)} \\ \|\mathcal{L}_{t}^{n}f\|_{s} &\leq C(\sigma^{n}\|f\|_{s}+|f|_{w})e^{nP_{*}(t)}, \quad \text{for some } \sigma < 1 \\ \|\mathcal{L}_{t}^{n}f\|_{u} &\leq C(\|f\|_{u}+\|f\|_{s})e^{nP_{*}(t)} \end{split}$$

Not true Lasota-Yorke inequalities due to lack of contraction in the strong unstable norm.

Lower bound on  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\delta}(W,t) \implies \|\mathcal{L}_t^n 1\|_s \ge c_0 \delta e^{nP_*(t)}$ . Use this to obtain eigenmeasures for  $e^{P_*(t)}$  as limit points.

## Construction of $\mu_t$

• The sequence

 $\nu_{t,n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kP_*(t)} \mathcal{L}_t^k 1, \text{ is uniformly bounded in } \mathcal{B}.$ 

By compactness, a subsequence converges in  $\mathcal{B}_w$ . Let  $\nu_t \in \mathcal{B}_w$  be a limit point of  $\nu_{t,n}$ .  $\nu_t$  is a measure.

• Similarly, let  $\tilde{\nu}_t \in (B_w)^*$  be a limit point of the sequence  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kP_*(t)} (\mathcal{L}_t^*)^k (d\mu_{\text{SRB}}).$ 

• Define 
$$\mu_t(\psi) = \frac{\tilde{\nu}_t(\psi\nu_t)}{\tilde{\nu}_t(\nu_t)}$$
, for  $\psi \in C^1(M)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}_t \nu_t = e^{P_*(t)} \nu_t$  and  $\mathcal{L}_t^* \tilde{\nu}_t = e^{P_*(t)} \tilde{\nu}_t$ , we have  $\mu_t(\psi \circ T) = \mu_t(\psi)$ , i.e.  $\mu_t$  is an invariant measure for T.

## Hyperbolicity and Ergodicity of $\mu_*$

Key Fact: Although  $\nu_t \in \mathcal{B}_w$ , it follows from the convergence of  $\nu_{t,n}$  to  $\nu_t$  in the  $|\cdot|_w$  norm that  $\|\nu_t\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty$ .

- For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists C_k > 0$  s.t.  $\mu_t(\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{S}_k)) \leq C_k(-\log \varepsilon)^{-\gamma}$ .  $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{S}_k) = \varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $\mathcal{S}_k$  in M,  $\gamma > 1$ .
- $\mu_t$ -a.e.  $x \in M$  has a stable and unstable manifold of positive length. The same is true with respect to  $\nu_t$ .

#### Lemma (Absolute continuity of holonomy)

On each Cantor rectangle R, the holonomy map sliding along unstable manifolds in R is absolutely continuous with respect to the conditional measures of  $\mu_t$  on stable manifolds.

#### Consequences:

- Each Cantor rectangle R belongs to one ergodic component.
- Since T is topologically mixing, we can force images of rectangles to overlap  $\implies (T^n, \mu_t)$  is ergodic for all n.

## Entropy of $\mu_t$

$$\text{Define } B(x,n,\varepsilon) = \{y \in M : d(T^{-i}x,T^{-i}y) \leq \varepsilon, \forall i \in [0,n] \}.$$

#### Proposition (Measure of Bowen Balls)

There exists C > 0 s.t. for all  $x \in M$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and  $y \in B(x, n, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$\mu_t(B(x, n, \varepsilon)) \le C e^{-nP_*(t) - t\tau_n(T^{-n}y)}.$$

• [Brin, Katok '81] 
$$\implies$$
 for  $\mu_t$ -a.e.  $x \in M$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \mu_t(B(x, n, \varepsilon)) = h_{\mu_t}(T^{-1}) = h_{\mu_t}(T).$$

- This plus the Proposition implies  $h_{\mu_t}(T) \ge P_*(t) + t \int \tau \, d\mu_t$
- But  $P_*(t) \ge P(t)$  (easy estimate using classical argument)
- Conclude:  $P_*(t) = h_{\mu_t}(T) t \int \tau \, d\mu_t = P(t).$

## Variational Principle and MME for Map and Flow

Theorem ([Baladi, D. '20], [Carrand '22], [Baladi, Carrand, D. '22])

Let T be a finite horizon Sinai billiard map and let  $\Phi_t$  be the associated flow.

Assume  $h_{top}(\Phi_1)\tau_{\min} > s_0 \log 2$ . Then for all  $t \in [0, h_{top}(\Phi_1)]$ ,

- $P_*(t) = P(t)$  (variational principle)
- There is a unique equilibrium state  $\mu_t$ .
- $\mu_t$  is *T*-adapted, Bernoulli and positive on open sets.

Lifting the equilibrium state  $\mu_t$  for  $t=h_{\rm top}(\Phi_1)$  yields the MME for the flow.

Corollary ([Baladi, Carrand, D. '22])

The measure  $\nu_{h_{top}} := \frac{\mu_{h_{top}}}{\int \tau \, d\mu_{h_{top}}} \otimes Leb$  is the unique MME of the billiard flow. It is Bernoulli and positive on all open sets.

## Some Open Questions

- 1) What about  $t \notin [0, h_{top}(\Phi_1)]$ ? Is there a phase transition for some t < 0 or  $t > h_{top}(\Phi_1)$ ?
- 2) Rate of correlation decay for  $\mu_t$ ? Can we prove polynomial decay of correlations as for the case  $t = 0, \leq n^{-\frac{h_*}{s_0 \log 2} + 2 + \varepsilon}$ ?
- 3) How much of the previous program can be carried out for the infinite horizon Sinai billiard? [Chernov, Troubetzkoy '96]  $\implies h_{top}(T) = \infty$ . But  $h_{top}(\Phi_1) < \infty$  so can one prove similar results for  $\mathcal{L}_t$  for t near  $h_{top}(\Phi_1)$ ?
- 4) Can similar results (for map or flow) be proved for dispersing billiards with corner points (no cusps)?
   N-step expansion proved in [De Simoi, Toth '14] may not be sufficient. Stronger complexity bound needed.